## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 Sanderina, LLC, et al.,

**Plaintiffs** 

v.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Great American Insurance Company,

Defendant

Case No.: 2:18-cv-0772-JAD-CWH

Order Adopting Report & Recommendation

[ECF Nos. 17, 20]

Plaintiffs Sanderina, LLC and Sanderina II, LLC moved to amend their complaint in this insurance-coverage dispute to add claims against American Financial Group, Inc., which defendant Great American Insurance Company identified in its certificate of interested parties as its parent company. Magistrate Judge Hoffman considered the motion to amend and recommends that I deny it as futile because "Sanderina does not allege any facts regarding American Financial's involvement in this case" or "facts that would support piercing the corporate veil."

"[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation unless objections are filed," and Sanderina has filed none by the objection deadline. Accordingly,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- The Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 20] is ADOPTED in full;
- The Motion to Amend Complaint [ECF No. 17] is DENIED.

Dated: September 12, 2018

U.S. District Judge Jenn fer A. Dorsey

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ECF No. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ECF No. 20 at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).